

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/623,569	MIYANO ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ANISH DESAI	1794	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ANISH DESAI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Keiko Takagi (Attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2008

**Time:** 9.45

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*9 and 15-17*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Anish Desai/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed minor amendments need to be made to claims 9 and 15-17 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Ms. Takagi gave the Examiner permission for the amendment. Further it was discussed that no amendment would be necessary for claim 17.